DECISIONS OF THE BOROUGH COUNCIL ON APPLICATIONS WHICH HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE WORKING PARTY

For reports on all committee decisions, please follow the minutes and agendas search on the Council's website or refer to your copy of the Planning agenda for the permitted date. Reports for delegated items can be found in the public access section of the Council's website and a direct link to the application is provided in the last column.

| Reference | Location and Applicant | Development | Working Party Comments – summary | Planning Decision |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 24/00534/FUL& | Oakley Hall, Oakley | Construction of ground | The WP applauded the heroic scale of | Approved by delegated powers |
| 24/00535/LBC | | floor orangery, lower | the proposal and the good use of | on 17 th January 2025 |
| | | ground floor loggia and | steep falling ground. There's a lack of | |
| | | basement interior and | detail for eg rainwater goods not | http://publicaccess.newcastle- |
| | | internal alterations | shown, and details required for the | staffs.gov.uk/online- |
| | | | doors into the basement and into the | applications/PLAN/24/00534/FUL |
| | | | side of the house. Some members felt | |
| | | | the adjacent garages fell short of the | |
| | | | bold new design and would look too | |
| | | | plain. Members did question the | |
| | | | courtyard landscaping and objected to | |
| | | | the removal of the gates from the | |
| | | | widened "gate" piers and the pastiche | |
| | | | landscaping which shouldn't open out | |
| | | | onto the main house frontage. | |
| | | | Elevation plans need minor | |
| | | | amendments to match site plans. | |
| 24/00231/FUL | Land at St Lukes Close, | Residential development | The WP discussed the increased | Approved by Planning Committee |
| | Silverdale | for 42 dwellings formation | height of the 3 storey scheme, given | on 28th January 2025 |
| | | of amenity space | the surrounding area is two storey. It | |
| | | | was generally felt that given the | http://publicaccess.newcastle- |
| | | | considerable set back of the | staffs.gov.uk/online- |
| | | | development from the highway and | applications/PLAN/24/00231/FUL |
| | | | retention of the mature landscaping | |

| | anc | d trees, that the scheme was | |
|--|------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | unl | likely to have any harmful impact | |
| | upo | oon the character of the area views | |
| | into | to the Conservation Area. | |