

CONSERVATION ADVISORY WORKING PARTY

Tuesday, 18th May, 2021
Time of Commencement: 6.00 pm

Present:-	Councillor Julie Cooper – in the Chair
Councillors	Trevor Johnson, June Walklate,
Representing Outside Bodies	Dr C Wakeling Dr S Fisher
Officers	Louise Wallace
Apologies	Councillor(s) Broome

Note: In line with government directions on staying at home during the current stage of the CV-19 pandemic, this meeting was conducted as a hybrid meeting with video conferencing in accordance with the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020

1. **APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Mr D Broome

2. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest stated.

3. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

Resolved: That the minutes of the meeting held on 20 April, 2021 be agreed as a correct record.

4. **PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED APPLICATIONS**

Resolved: That the report on the decision on applications previously considered by this Working Party be received.

5. **NEW APPLICATIONS RECEIVED**

1-3 High Street, Newcastle 21/00359/ADV

The Working Party feels that whilst this fascia is overly large there is no real damage to the main architectural features of the building.

64-68 High Street, HSBC, Newcastle 21/00380/FUL

The group objected to this application due to the lack of information provided to the siting and fixing of the camera and its actual appearance. There was

no information about any brackets which may be needed and there are already scars on the masonry from other fixings. The group wondered if it might be sited more effectively on the glazing bars, closer to the cash machine.

18A High Street, Newcastle 21/00317/FUL

The group accept the flue as a necessary evil to enable the new use to operate but would like a condition to be added to ensure it was carefully removed if/when the use no longer required it.

With regard to the proposed new frontage – there is no attempt to tie it into the rest of the building frontage. This building is characterful with a strong personality and the bland proposal not good enough. Members felt the design approach does not have longevity or quality and greatly affects the traditional style on the adjacent brick building (also Grade II Listed). They have concerns also on how this approach would work with the significant gradient on the pavement.

Sidmouth Avenue 21/00446/DEEM4

The Group had no objections.

6. CONSERVATION AND HERITAGE FUND

There were no grants.

7. URGENT BUSINESS

There was no Urgent Business.

COUNCILLOR JULIE COOPER
Chair

Meeting concluded at 7.05 pm