ASSET POLICY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 6th January, 2016

Present:- John Sellgren – in the Chair

Councillors Huckfield, Loades, Reddish, Shenton, Tagg, Turner and Williams

Independent Mr R Dougan , Mr B Carnes Members:

1. APOLOGIES.

There were no apologies.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING.

Resolved: That the minutes of the previous meeting be agreed as a correct record.

4. CONFIRMATION OF THE TERMS OF REFERENCE.

Resolved: That the revised draft terms of reference for the Assets Policy Committee be agreed.

5. **REVIEW OF THE SITES FOR DISPOSAL.**

The chair welcomed representatives from Cushman and Wakefield to the meeting who provided a presentation to the Committee in relation to the site schedule which had previously been distributed to members (on a strictly confidential basis to be used solely for the purposes of the Committee's work).

The representatives stated that the majority of sites had been valued assuming residential development and that sites consisting of over 15 units would trigger an affordable housing and Section 106 agreement requirement.

None of the valuations included abnormal costs such as decontamination or access costs because this information was not available.

The query was raised as to whether it would be best for the Council to release, say, 3 sites per year. The representative agreed that this would be their advice and to achieve a reasonable geographical spread to avoid flooding the market.

Cushman and Wakefield also confirmed that they would highlight any concerns regarding potential or known likely contamination and would advise the Council that an expert consultant should be employed to clarify the extent of the same.

Members queried whether there was any funding available from the Government to help bring forward brownfield sites and whether this might be available for the

Classification: NULBC **UNCLASSIFIED** Asset Policy Committee - Error! Unknown document property name.

Council to access. It was confirmed that in some cases such funding might be available although it was pointed out that the majority of the Council's sites would be classified as greenfield.

In relation to item 13 on the list (Bower End Lane, Madeley) a query was raised as to whether there was potential for this to be a small enterprise court and members requested that officers look into this possibility.

Members queried whether the site listed at 22 (Cotswold Avenue, Knutton) could be brought back into community use. Officers stated that this could be done but there would be little commercial value to this.

Members queried when the first receipts could be received in relation to the sites listed that were currently in the Green Belt. Officers stated that in order to change the designation of the land and allow for development the Council would be unlikely to receive the receipts before about 2020. Members queried whether this process could be speeded up by approaching the Secretary of State but officers stated that such a move was unlikely to be welcomed by the Secretary of State as the Council needed to go through due process regarding the current Joint Local Plan that was being developed with the City Council and the fact that there were brownfield sites available across the conurbation that needed to be prioritised for development in the first instance.

Members considered that land also had to be made available for jobs to encourage people to come and live in the Borough and that it was better to focus on the larger sites first which would also help to attract house building firms that were less reliant on financing by the banks. Members were also wary that an oversupply of land could lead to potential developers becoming overly selective.

The following proposal was tabled by Cllr Huckfield and listed sites for disposal over the next three years:

Next financial year:

£4million capital needed (including £1 million for Civic Hub/Ryecroft project*)

Capital could be generated from the sale of some or all of the following:**

Land	Political Implications
Deans Lane	Labour and Liberal democrat split seat
Knype Way	
Gloucester Road	
Newchapel	Labour/Conservative split seat
Road/Pennyfields	
Crackley Bank	Job creation/business rates
Meadows Road	Job creation/business rates
Merrial Street Toilet block	Job creation/business rates

*£1 million needed for the Civic Hub/Ryecroft could be financed via borrowing it there is a capital short fall.

**capital generated may be less than expected due to a community concession being provided that reduces the value/size of the land.

Financial Year 2:

£4 million capital needed (£1 million for Civic Hub/Ryecroft project*)

Capital could be generated from the sale of some or all of the following:**

Land	
Clayhanger	
Market Drayton Road	

*£1 million needed for the Civic Hub/Ryecroft could be financed via borrowing it there is a capital short fall.

**capital generated may be less than expected due to a community concession being provided that reduces the value/size of the land.

Financial Year 3 and going forward:

Capital could be generated from the sale of some or all of the following:

• Keele Golf Course

Non-controversial land from all Council owned land in:

- Kidsgrove Development Area (covering Kidsgrove Town Council area);
- Newcastle South Development Area (covering Seabridge, Westlands, Clayton and Thistleberry area);
- Newcastle Rural Development Area (covering Loggerheads and Whitmore and Madeley area);
- Audley and Halmer End Development Area (covering Audley, Halmer End area);
- Chesterton and Bradwell Development Area (covering Bradwell, Chesterton and Holditch area)
- Newcastle East Development Area (covering May Bank, Wolstanton and Porthill area)
- Cross Heath and Silverdale Development Area (covering Cross Heath, Knutton, Silverdale and Keele area).

The Committee considered the sites and queried what the Council would need to do if there was a shortfall in capital receipts due to a lack of sales and what were the required timescales to ensure that this did not happen.

Officers confirmed that as long as the Council was expecting the receipts then it could look into some temporary borrowing which would not affect the general fund. The Council would need to be assured that the expected receipts would be received within 12 months in order for them to be taken into consideration for the current year's budget.

It was also confirmed that timescales for receiving the receipts would range from 18 months to 2 years.

Classification: NULBC **UNCLASSIFIED** Asset Policy Committee - Error! Unknown document property name.

A question was raised as to whether there were agreed criteria to enable members to prioritise the disposal of sites; this had been suggested at the Committee's second meeting (on 16 December). Officers stated that a draft set of criteria had been emailed to members of the Committee prior to the Christmas break. It was noted that officers had not received any feedback from members but it was acknowledged that the criteria had not yet been formally agreed. Hard copies of the draft criteria were distributed to all members of the Committee.

The Committee considered the criteria but expressed concerns regarding a lack of sophistication such as weightings.

The Chair requested that the Committee consider whether it was satisfied that all of the possible sites were now included in the sites list that Members had before them.

Cllr Tagg proposed the motion and list of sites for disposal that had been tabled by Cllr Huckfield; this was seconded by Cllr Reddish.

Members voted on the list with 4 voting in favour:

Cllr Huckfield Cllr Loades Cllr Tagg Cllr Reddish

and 3 against:

Cllr Shenton Cllr Turner Cllr Williams

Resolved: That the Committee recommend the sites as listed in the tabled document for disposal.

6. **DRAFT ASSET POLICY.**

The Chief Executive presented (and distributed) a set of slides to members of the Committee in relation to the emerging asset policy for their information.

Resolved: That the information be received.

7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.

There was no other business.

John Sellgren Chair

-